## Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

### National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable	60 Point(s)
reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides,	
excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source	
contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
amount of ground or surface water conservation?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile	
organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality	
impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	20 Point(s)
reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural	
land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	50 Point(s)
increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	

### **State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d)	50 Point(s)
listed stream segment?	
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section	50 Point(s)
319 project?	
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed	50 Point(s)
stream segment?	
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section	50 Point(s)
319 project?	
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
T 1T A11 1	

#### **Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. GR #1 Mininum local issue score must be 75 points to be concidered for funding.	0 Point(s)
2. GR #2 Is the operation going to convert from a Continous Use to Seasonal Use?	25 Point(s)
3. GR #3 Is the operation going to convert from either Seasonal to Seasonal Rotation?	75 Point(s)
4. GR #4 Will the proposed grazing system operation result in a deferment of 25-50% of the Growing season?	25 Point(s)

# Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal

5. GR #5 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment period of 51-90% of the growing season?  6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting, grade stabelization structure)  7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension?  8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?  9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?  10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stablization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  75 Point(s)  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  18. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  10. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	Issue Questions	Responses
of the growing season?  6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting, grade stabelization structure)  7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension?  8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?  9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?  10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  75 Point(s)  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  17. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  85 Point(s)  10. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  10. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?	5. GR #5 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment period of 51-90%	
6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting, grade stabelization structure) 7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension? 8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management? 9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule? 10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? 11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting) 12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment? 13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above? 14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding. 15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% so Point(s) 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25% so Point(s) 17. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% so Point(s) 18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% so Point(s) 19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41% so Point(s) 19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41% so Point(s) 10. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)? 20. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?	of the growing season?	
Structure) 7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension? 8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level 50 Point(s) management? 9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied 50 Point(s) or on schedule? 10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? 11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting) 12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment? 13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above? 14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding. 15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment mill improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25% 10. Point(s) 11. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35% 12. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 13. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 14. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)? 15. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less? 16. Point(s)	6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this	50 Point(s)
Structure) 7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension? 8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level 50 Point(s) management? 9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied 50 Point(s) or on schedule? 10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? 11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting) 12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment? 13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above? 14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding. 15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment mill improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25% 10. Point(s) 11. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35% 12. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 13. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 14. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)? 15. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less? 16. Point(s)	operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting, grade stabelization	
7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension?  8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?  9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?  10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  75 Point(s)  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25% 17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  85 Point(s)  10. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	structure)	
8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management? 9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule? 10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? 11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting) 12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment? 13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above? 14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding. 15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35% 17. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41% 19. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)? 20. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less? 50 Point(s)	7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address	25 Point(s)
management?  9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or schedule?  10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrubestablishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  10. Point(s)  11. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  22. Point(s)	weeds with SWCD and Extension?	
9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule? 10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? 11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting) 12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment? 13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above? 14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding. 15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15% 16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35% 17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40% 18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41% 19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41% 19. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)? 20. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less? 20. Point(s)	8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level	50 Point(s)
or on schedule?  10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill perosion pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting perosion pond, grade stablization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub perosion perosion pond grade stablization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub perosion p	management?	
10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  25 Point(s)  27. Point(s)  28. Point(s)  29. Point(s)  20. Point(s)  20. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  25 Point(s)	9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied	-50 Point(s)
without good justification?  11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	or on schedule?	
11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Point(s)  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled	-50 Point(s)
erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)  12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	without good justification?	
12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  25. Point(s)  20. Point(s)  20. Point(s)  20. Irr.Crop #7 Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50. Point(s)	11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill	25 Point(s)
in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)	
facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  75 Point(s)  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result	25 Point(s)
establishment?  13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water	
13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?  14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub	
14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	establishment?	
funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  10 Point(s)  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?	75 Point(s)
funding.  15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  10 Point(s)  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		
15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%  16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  10 Point(s)  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for	0 Point(s)
16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%  17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	funding.	
17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%  18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		
18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%  19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		10 Point(s)
19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%  20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		
20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		
field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%	85 Point(s)
field to re-entry to live system)?  21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)	20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of	50 Point(s)
21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?  50 Point(s)		
22 Irr Crop #0 Producer willing to document irrigation usage after system is applied? 50 Point(s)		50 Point(s)
22. III.Crop #9 Froducer withing to document irrigation usage after system is applied: 30 Foliti(s)	22. Irr.Crop #9 Producer willing to document irrigation usage after system is applied?	50 Point(s)
23. Irr.Crop #10 Does landowner have Class A, B, or C weed(s) on land treated, and 50 Point(s)	23. Irr.Crop #10 Does landowner have Class A, B, or C weed(s) on land treated, and	50 Point(s)
is or willing to address weed issue with SWCD and Extension?	is or willing to address weed issue with SWCD and Extension?	
24. Irr.Crop #11 Will this treatment bring the planned land units to an RMS level 65 Point(s)	24. Irr.Crop #11 Will this treatment bring the planned land units to an RMS level	65 Point(s)
management?	management?	
25. Irr.Crop #12 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being -50 Point(s)	25. Irr.Crop #12 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being	-50 Point(s)
applied or on schedule?	applied or on schedule?	
	26. Irr.Crop #13 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being	-50 Point(s)
applied or on schedule?	applied or on schedule?	